| | Page 1 |
|----------|--|
| 1 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA |
| 2 | FOR THE BOOTHERN DIBIRIES OF WEST VIRGINIA |
| 4 | * |
| 5 | THE CITY OF HUNTINGTON, |
| 6 | Plaintiff, |
| 7 | vs. CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:17-01362 |
| / | AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG |
| 8 | CORPORATION, et al., Defendants. |
| 10 | |
| 11 12 | CABELL COUNTY COMMISSION, Plaintiff, |
| 13 | vs. CIVIL ACTION |
| 1 4 | NO. 3:17-01665 |
| 14 15 | AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION, et al., |
| 16 | Defendants. |
| 17 | * |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Videotaped and Zoom videoconference deposition of CRAIG MCCANN, PH.D. taken by the |
| 2 0 | Defendants under the Federal Rules of Civil |
| 21 | Procedure in the above-entitled action, pursuant to notice, before Jennifer Vail-Kirkbride, a Registered |
| 22 | Merit Reporter, on the 1st day of September, 2020. |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |

Page 148

- Q. Talking about some of the code, the word "code" was used repeatedly by Mr. Eppich. Do you have an understanding what Mr. Eppich was referring to when he used the word "code," "code computer"?
 - A. Yes, I think I do.
- Q. And has your office at every step of the way through this litigation produced the code or computer code to the -- to the other side, to the defendants?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. So the defendants have had in some cases the computer code you, your office, created to analyze and organize the data for as much as almost two years; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So would you explain what your understanding of the code is, just in kind of layman's terms.
 - MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form.
- A. Sure. There is two broad categories of code, roughly corresponding to the two big categories of opinions in my report. The first set of opinions in code deals with how the ARCOS data is, uhm, is processed and prepared. And the second

Page 151

taken our code, which involved thousands of hours of development, and, perhaps, spending tens of hours slightly modifying it. I don't see any independent code produced by defendants in realtime in monitoring their orders or by their experts in this litigation, ex post justifying the orders that they shipped.

- Q. Let's go back to the beginning. I want to ask you some foundational questions. And let's start with Automation of Reports and Consolidated Order System, ARCOS. Tell us how your office secured the ARCOS data.
- A. Well, it was delivered to us on hard drives or thumb drives and stored on a local server here in my office.
- Q. I don't want you to go through each of the 34 fields, but explain to us generally what types of fields were contained or the data contained in ARCOS as you received it on the hard drives?
- A. There was really three categories of fields, a category that identifies the shipper or seller. You think of that as the manufacturer and shipments to the distributors or the distributors in shipments to pharmacies.

Page 153

received the ARCOS on the -- on the hard drives. Walk the Court through the processing piece of it. What did you do with the data once you received it on the hard drives?

Well, the data is a -- as we receive it, is what you might think of as a text file. It's just a string of -- sort of a continuous string, of billions of characters long, but when you read it into software, you can separate these billions of characters into 500 million lines of data. these lines of data include the 34 fields that we were just discussing.

These fields vary in length from a few characters to, perhaps, 15 or 20 characters. So you take this billions of characters of text and break it down into the 500 million lines of data on the 34 fields.

As I explain in the expert report, these lines of data include some -- some errors that we clean up, that we fix, some duplicates, some other reasons, some errors.

Ο. Sure.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And then with that -- that processed data, 24 we -- we are then ready to produce summaries of the